

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,708	03/03/2004	Masafumi Kikuchi	249944US0	2914
22850	22850 7590 09/14/2004		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			GREEN, ANTHONY J	
	1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1755	
			DATE MAILED: 09/14/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/790,708	KIKUCHI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Anthony J. Green	1755			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutively received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to solve within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. & 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
		—· s action is non-final.				
3)						
	closed in accordance with the practice under					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the applica	tion				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	John John John John John John John John				
	Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.	!	*			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•				
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er				
-	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner			
·	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)🖂	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E					
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receiv	red in this National Stage			
	application from the International Burea	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•			
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receiv	ed.			
•						
Attachment	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	. □	(DT			
2) Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) 🔯 Inforn	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date <u>05/10/04</u> .	5) Notice of Informal I	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/790,708

Art Unit: 1755

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese Patent Specification No. 08-026811.

The reference teaches the addition of a silicone oil to a powder material containing silicic acid and calcareous raw materials. The silicone oil has a formula that encompasses that which is instantly claimed (see the enclosed machine translation).

The instant claims are obvious over the reference. The reference differs from the instant claims as it does not teach the exact same proportions as recited in the instant claims (i.e. the amount of the silicone oil added and the amount of alkyl groups). However, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have considered the invention to have been obvious because the compositional proportions taught by the reference overlap the instantly claimed proportions and therefore are considered to establish a prima facie case of obviousness. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select any portion of the disclosed ranges including the instantly claimed ranges from the ranges disclosed in the prior art reference, particularly in view of the fact that; "The normal desire of scientists or artisans to improve upon what is already generally known provides the motivation to determine

Application/Control Number: 10/790,708

Art Unit: 1755

where in a disclosed set of percentage ranges is the optimum combination of percentages", In re Peterson 65 USPQ2d 1379 (CAFC 2003). Also, In re Geisler 43 USPQ2d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 1997); In re Woodruff, 16 USPQ2d 1934 (CCPA 1976); In re Malagari, 182 USPQ 549, 553 (CCPA 1974) and MPEP 2144.05. Accordingly the instant claims are obvious over the reference in the absence of evidence showing otherwise.

Oath/Declaration

3. The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because: applicant has misspelled the country for the priority application. Note that "Jaapn" should be -- Japan --.

Information Disclosure Statement

4. The remaining references have been considered however they are not seen to teach and/or fairly suggest the instant invention

References Cited By The Examiner

5. The references are cited as showing the general state of the art and as such, they are not seen to teach or fairly suggest the instant invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony J. Green whose telephone number is 571-272-1367. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30-4:00.

Art Unit: 1755

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark L. Bell can be reached on 571-272-1362. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anthony J. Green Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755

ajg September 09, 2004